

11 July 72

About DE... ^{with} "other than his long connection with
RANO Camp..."

Seen both defendants on TV: "
reasonable interview DE ("Mr. Ellsley
is the one who stands out in my memory..."

[B: "Do you realize that the indictment is
no evidence at all that they have done
anything wrong, as though "where's there's

strongly-held opinion: "I believe we should
get out of VN."

Nixon, to ask: Would his opinion incline him to favor
a party who shared his view? (we agree)
(CN: a reliability question.
DE - or a knockout?

(Voin die in chambers... '')

XXXXXX

Moser: constantly smiles

"I have heard of the PP - have no idea of what they consisted of."

1969, 70 - when they were published - don't know where

Thins, and San Gabriel Valley Tribune

Thins, wife, Remond (for whom husband closed his office).

No idea of what they were about. Never discussed. Never heard of lawsuits.

"I'd be glad to read them"

Strong opinion: We've been there long enough and it's time to come home. - I haven't been close to you in VN, though I may be in that position - my son becomes of draft age in January.

Heard of case on Sunday - "Thought next I might be a juror."

no chair - (no intro) - dog looked

secret weapon - hair - brush

abundant - judge questions prior

chambers - Reports, PP - Miss.

(Tag out: ^{really dog} ~~to~~ your
militance?)

[Mort: questions about VN just lead to pros. challenges.

Mort: on Ro

Orlita: knows nothing... but read story about
picking jury, 9 men + 2 women

"I told you not to." "Yes... but I did."

On VN: no strong opinion. (smiles)

B.S. in civil eng., East LA... working on M.A.?

Haugen: Q: Can you be fair & impartial?

long pause... yes. are you sure?

Smiles... "I think so."

Saw defendant's interview on TV... wasn't interested.

... Papers were missing, turned up again.

Have an opinion that would make it difficult
to judge

Goldstein:

[CW moves, re Hungen, that when defendant expresses a doubt, judge should probe the doubt, not rehabilitate the witness, by "Nevertheless, do you feel you could..." Judge: Motion denied.

Goldstein comes out: DE "Here where G disqualifies himself - and judge follows CW, shows how fair he is. Econ degree, Univ Chicago 1941. Has read of PP in

CAT, when they appeared - not carefully or thoroughly... just scanned them back to Jack Anderson...

I was more interested in Mr. Ellsby - that he had worked for the Gov, and felt he had to reveal what he knew of the origins of the war, in order to stop it... accusation that he stole the papers

CAT + Progress-Bulletin in Pomona Valley. Time Magazine, New Republic, SR, Life

By opinion: "I'm opposed to the war - I don't know if that would prejudice me."

[Judge is like marriage counselor - rather than a psychiatrist - trying to keep the jury together.]

"save the panel" He uses questions as instruction. "Are you confident that you can set aside all opinions... to endorse his theory, follow his guidance"

I think I ~~would~~ my opinions would not prejudice
me - but maybe after I heard the facts of the case...
at this time, I think I could...

Read that the lawyers were unhappy with the
method of jury selection.

Heard that DE had backed shortly to seek
Anderson

[Haskell: supports our motion.]

Read they probably would be acquitted. (kind paternal).
(Ha)

I hold opinions but they're separate from the case
itself - I can divorce my opinions on the war...

"I'm opposed to the involvement."

I probably would tend to favor someone
whose opinion I stand...

I've never been in the situation before - I
don't know how I would act

~ ... I just don't know... (whether would be prejudiced)

Homer: just before trial, read about trial —
pictures of defendants, lawyers.

some papers were confiscated from Gov,
Secret, defendants indicted for confiscation

Supervisor counts: "The government fouled
up again." ... Gov security is loosening up...
(re Confiscation).

"I believe we should end the war over
there, one way or the other, preferably with a
victory.

I don't believe we should just get out, ask or
let accept a defeat, ~~and~~ just let the
SUN see take care of their own [sic]...
[no mention of POWs...] comitant...

[Those intolerant of ambiguity have no trouble
saying confidently they will judge impartially, etc.
— predicting their own behavior

pg 11

Goldstein: CD: She's smarter than the judge by a mile."

(She corrects questions, or fails to understand obtuse ones...)

Refuses to affirm absurd propositions. ("Will be absolutely fair + impartial, upon my opinion...")

(But, "I think a person can be sympathetic and still be partial... I am impartial.")

Like me, when I decided to tell the truth — I hated to lie court — I would have found it hard to lie, even to save project — could be discreet...

Someone who cares what How does — does, reads papers — and believes How should tell the truth — can be totally "impartial" — or conceal his opinion, under direct questioning.

I loved Goldstein — could not want her to lie — though she had the power to keep me out of prison.